2008 MAINE STATE PROFILE of SELECTED PUBLIC HEALTH INDICATORS Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention/DHHS

| ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH INDICATORS | DISTRICT | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | Aroostook ± Margin of Error | Central ± Margin of Error | Cumberland ± Margin of Error | Downeast ± Margin Of Error | Midcoast ± Margin of Error | Penquis ± Margin of Error | Western ± Margin of Error | York ± Margin of Error | MAINE State ± Margin of Error | UNITED STATES |
| Emergency Department Visits for Carbon Monoxide Poisoning (rate per 100,000) [2001-2005] | 15.9 (±2.3) | 6.9 (±1.9) | 4.7 (±1.2) | 5.2 (±4.9) | 6.3 (±1.9) | 6.9 (±1.9) | 8.0 (±1.9) | 6.5 (±1.7) | 6.9 (±0.7) | n/a |
| Elevated Blood Lead Levels Among Screened 1-Year Old Children (percent) [2005-2006] | 0.3 (±0.4) | 1.9 (±0.8) | 1.1 (±0.4) | 1.0 (±0.7) | 1.9 (±0.8) | 1.6 (±0.6) | 1.7 (±0.6) | 1.4 (±0.5) | 1.4 (±0.2) | 1.58 (<72 mos. Old) [2005] |
| Housing Units in Structures Built <1950 (numbers, representing high risk for lead) [2000] | 15,244 | 29,569 | 45,159 | 20,370 | 29,527 | 27,996 | 37,155 | 28,112 | 35.7% | 22.3% |
| Homes with Private Wells Tested for Arsenic (percent) [2003] | n/a | 45.6 (±9.7) | n/a | n/a | 36.6 (±9.4) | n/a | 47.1 (±9.4) | n/a | 44.6 (±3.9) | n/a |
| District Community Water Systems Meeting all Health Based Standards (percent) [2007] | 78 | 80 | 87 | 76 | 80 | 94 | 84 | 65 | 80 | n/a |
| District Community Water Systems with Source Water Protection in Place (percent) [2007] | 74 | 78 | 84 | 93 | 88 | 87 | 81 | 84 | 84 | n/a |

SOURCES AND TECHNICAL NOTES

There are three (3) **DHHS Districts** whose jurisdictional borders follow a single county [Aroostook. Cumberland, and York] and five (5) DHHS Health District jurisdictions that cover either 2. 3, or 4 counties [Central. Downeast, Midcoast, Penguis, Western Districts.]

Highlighted cells are those that may be significantly different than the state rate because the data fall outside the margin of error.

Race / ethnicity estimates herein reflect one type of Census format so that when a person of more than one race is counted, he or she is counted in more than one racial category. This will result in a total cunt higher than the actual total population count for the jurisdiction when it comes to race / ethnicity.

What is measured to compare disease burden by District is not always what should be measured to compare state to national data (which is not always ageadjusted.)

Differences in methodology for data calculations may be too great to directly compare District or State data with US or Benchmarking State data sets such as found in *Healthy* People 2010, or the Commonwealth, Kaiser, or United Health Foundation indicators ranking projects. They are still informative so they have been included.

Indicators change over time, especially those that depend in coding regulations, which themselves change. Data for the single county Districts are sometimes calculated differently than those of multicounty Districts. For example, median ages are not comparable across Districts, but still provide useful information.

Many other complicated factors, such as when the population (Census) changes, means rates are not always comparable.